

Voting matters, Title index

- A**
- Are better STV rules worthwhile? - A reply to R J C Fennell, I D Hill **3**, 6-8
 - Are non-transferables bad?, I D Hill **8**, 4
- C**
- Comments on the Scottish Electoral proposals, I D Hill, R F Maddock and B A Wichmann **9**, 4-6
 - The comparative steadiness test of electoral methods, I D Hill **3**, 5
 - Computer counting in STV elections, D R Woodall **1**, 11-12
 - Counting in STV elections, C H E Warren **1**, 12-13
- E**
- Equality of preference – an alternative view , I D Hill **7**, 6
 - Estimating the Probability of Monotonicity Failure in a UK General Election, C Allard **5**, 1-5
 - An example showing that Condorcet infringes a precept of preferential voting systems,
C H E Warren **5**, 5-6
 - An example of ordering elected candidates, C H E Warren **10**, 3
- F**
- A form of STV with single-member constituencies, B A Wichmann **6**, 4-5
- H**
- The Handsomely Supported Candidate Ploy, C H E Warren **10**, 2
 - How was my vote used?, I D Hill **7**, 6
- I**
- Is a feedback method of calculating the quota really necessary?, R J C Fennell **2**, 9-11
 - Is STV a form of PR?, P Kestelman **6**, 5-9
- L**
- Large elections by computer, B A Wichmann **7**, 2-4
- M**
- Measuring proportionality, I D Hill **8**, 7-8
 - Meek and monotonicity, I D Hill **4**, 1-2
 - Meek or Warren counting, I D Hill **1**, 1-2
 - Meek style STV – a simple introduction, I D Hill **7**, 5-6
 - Monotonicity - An In-Depth Study of One Example, D R Woodall **4**, 5-7
 - Monotonicity and Single-Seat Election Rules, D R Woodall **6**, 9-14
- N**
- A New Approach to the Single Transferable Vote. Part I, B L Meek **1**, 1-7
 - A New Approach to the Single Transferable Vote. Part II, B L Meek **1**, 7-11
- O**
- On the lack of Convexity in STV, C H E Warren **7**, 1-2
 - Ordered List Selection, J Otten **9**, 8-9
 - Organisations using STV, (Editor) **8**, 2-3

P

- A problem for Andrae and Hare, I D Hill **10**, 4-5
- The problem of stability, B A Wichmann **3**, 1-5
- Producing a Party List using STV, C Rosenstiel **9**, 7-8
- Producing plausible party election data, B A Wichmann **5**, 6-10
- Progressive Elimination, P Dean **4**, 1
- Properties of Preferential Election Rules, D R Woodall **3**, 8-15

Q

- Quantifying Representativity, P Kestelman **10**, 7-10
- Quotation Marks, E M Syddique & B A Wichmann **8**, 3

R

- Response to the paper by R J C Fennell, P Dean **3**, 6
- A review of the ERS97 rules, B A Wichmann **10**, 5-7

S

- Sequential STV, I D Hill **2**, 5-7
- A simple model of voter behaviour, B A Wichmann **4**, 3-5
- STV and Equality of Preference, C H E Warren **7**, 6-7
- An STV Database, B A Wichmann **2**, 9
- STV with constraints, I D Hill **9**, 2-4
- STV with constraints, Earl Kitchener **10**, 3-4
- STV with successive selection - An alternative to excluding the lowest, S Gazeley **2**, 1-5
- Some comments on *Replaying the 1992 general election*, I D Hill **6**, 14-17
- Some Council Elections, B A Wichmann **8**, 4-7

T

- A transferable voting system including intensity of preference, B L Meek **6**, 1-4
- Trying to find a winning set of candidates, I D Hill **4**, 3
- Two STV Elections, B A Wichmann **2**, 7-9

V

- Voter Choice and Proportionality, B A Wichmann and R F Maddock **9**, 6-7